From: Michael Langan mllangan1@me.com Subject: Additional Docs

Date: November 16, 2015 at 7:26 PM

To: Bryan Bertram Bryan.Bertram@state.ma.us

Attached are the remainder of docs I am filing with tomorrow's supplement. (as mentioned the SJC already has these but I am resending for clarification and also to assist you in preparing your response.) I apologize for the volume of information but again it is only the tip of the iceberg. The selected docs will actually be helpful in preparing your response as they directly address the specific arguments that were presented to the Board. The Board has not addressed any of the specific issues but has instead taken a "talk to the hand" approach. Please let me know when you submit the Board's response Friday as I would like to get my response to this in immediately after (either later Friday or Monday depending on when it is submitted). My assumption is that they will simply "stand their ground," try to give the impression that I am a threat to the Commonwealth and maintain they are just "protecting the public."

I have already completed my response to this anticipated stance and would like to get it in as soon as possible.

I do think it is necessary the Board address both Dr. Flood's and Attorney Burgess' specific and detailed opinions here. They are valid and credible arguments based on evidence and documentary fact. Again, I do not believe the full Board ever saw these documents in real time and Stoller simply tossed them in a drawer or discarded them. However, the e-mails show what they knew and when they knew it.

As Stoller does not seem to be responsive to documents sent by the U.S. Postal Service should I cc her (and the other members of the Board's "physician health and compliance unit" on these e-mails? I want to make sure that it is established they were received prior to the judicial review.

Thanks Bryan.

Sincerely,

Michael Langan



Re. Michael Langan DOB: 5/15/1962

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing in regards to Michael Langan who is currently in my care in the outpatient clinics at Massachusetts General Hospital. Please note that I have followed Dr. Langan since I evaluated him in October of 2007 initially for ADHD, and then subsequently have been involved in following him for his ADHD and past history of substance use disorder (opioid dependence, in remission). Through this period of time, I have monitored him clinically and over the past year have been ordering and monitoring his urine and saliva toxicologies. Throughout the period of my work with Dr. Langan, I do not see, or have not observed, any clinical or laboratory evidence of a relapse. It is also noteworthy that Dr. Langan received therapy in our clinic, and our therapist also concurred with my observations and actually did not believe Dr. Langan required any further treatment.

I will continue to follow Dr. Langan and urge him to continue to seek means to re-establish his status with the board of registration of medicine and to return to work as a physician.

Sincerely,

7,6- (m

Timothy E. Wilens, M.D. Senior Staff Psychiatrist and Director, Center for Addiction Medicine Massachusetts General Hospital Associate Professor of Psychiatry Harvard Medical School